•		
:	and Ruk 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,	
		<u>.                                    </u>
	2. The United States District Court for the Middle	
	District of Pensylvania is an appropriate venue	
	under 28 U.S.C. Section 1391 (b)(2) because it is where	
	the events giving rise to this claim occurred.	······································
	TT. PLAINTIFF	
	3. Plaintiff, Chad C. Renfro-Narduzzi, is and was	
	at all times mentioned herein a prisoner of the	
	state of Rensylvania in the custody of the	
	Rensylvania Department of Corrections. Plaintiff	
· ,	is currently confined in State Correctional	
,	Institution at Bochview in bellefonte, Pennsylvania,	
·		·
	TIL. DEFENDANT(S)	
		<u>.</u>
·	4. Defendant, Soft, Miller is a correctional officer	
	of the Rensylvania Department of Corrections, who	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	at all times mentioned in this complaint, held	*.
·	the duty of care, custody, and control of all	
· <del>-··</del>	inmates housed in his assigned prison and	
	held the rank of a Sergeant (COII) and is was	
<del>.</del>	assigned to SCI-Bockview.	
	5. Defendant, Soft. Hemmis, is a correctional afficer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u> </u>	of the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections, who	
	at all times mentioned in this complaint, held	<u> </u>
·	the duty of Care, Custody, and Control of all	
	٦ , , ,	

Page 2 of 12

inmates housed in her assigned prison and held the rank of a sergeant (co.II) and is luce assigned to sci-activism.

co. Defendant, co. Halderman, is a correctional officer
of the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections, who
at all times mentioned in this complaint held the
duty of Care, Custody, and Control of all inmates
housed in her assigned prison and held the
rank of Correctional Officer (COI) and is loss
assigned to SCI-Bochview.

## TV. FACTS

- 7. At all times relevant to this case, Plaintiff
  Chad C. Bentro-Narduzzi, is luces confined at
  sci-Bochview on the DC/RTU (Residential
  Tecalment Unit) housing unit.
- 8. On July 7, 2017, Plaintiff and Defendant Soft.

  Miller, got into an arguement regarding

  Plaintiff getting his hair cut. Defendant, Soft.

  miller said he was giving Plaintiff a direct

  order to get his hair cut.
- 9. Upon correct information Plaintiff stated to
  Defendant Soft. Miller that he could no longer
  give a direct order to get a heir cut as the
  Rennsylvania Department of Corrections has changed
  thier policy regarding the length of inmodes

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	hair. (DC-ADM 807 - Grooming policy attached as Ex.A).	
	10. Plaintiff then went to his cell to get his capy	
	of the DC-ADM 407- (accoming policy to show Defendant	,
	sof miller at the officer's desh when Plaintiff	
	showed Defendant Egt. Miller the policy he became	
• •	visibly mad and got into Plaintiff's Face and	• •
<del></del>	told Plaintiff to go to his cell. Plaintiff then	
	complied with this order.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•	IL as Plaintiff made his way to his cell	
	Plaintiff never shawed any sign of aggression	<u> </u>
	in any way.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	12. Plaintiff on his way to his cell was followed	· ·
<u> </u>	by Defendant (3), Soft. Miller, Soft. Hemmis, and	-
	COI, Helderman	
•	13. Once at Plaintiffs' cell Defendant Soft Miller	
<del></del>	pushed Plaintiffs' cell door open with enough	
·	force to where Plaintiff had to turn slightly to avoid the door from hitting him in the	
·	to avoid From bitting him in the	
<del></del>	Face.	
<del></del> :	14. Once inside Plaintiffs' cell Defendant Soft.	
	Miller pushed Plaintiff From behind which	·
	caused Plaintiff hit the back wall in his cell.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	15. When Plaintiff turned around Defendant Soft	
	miller started to punch Plaintiff in the face/head	
		1

Page 4 of 12

		, ,
	area with closed fists Defendant Sat Miller	1
	hit Plaintiff with closed fists appx 20-30 times.	
·.		
	16. While Defendant Soft Miller was assaulting	
	Plaintiff Defendant, Soyl Hemmis and COI Halderman	
	shood at Plandiffs' cell door and watched and	
	never called For back-up.	
	17. While Defendant, Soft. Miller was assaulting	
	Plaintiff, Plaintiff started yelling for help	
	yet Defendant(s), Soft Hemmis and COI. Halderman	
· ·	still fail to care for the safety of Plantiff and	
	continued to violate Remarkania Department of	ļ
· ·	corrections policies and procedures by failing to	
	call for back-up.	, ,
		· .
	18. Plaintiff continued to upill for bell for several	
	minutes untill COI, Fry who was stationed near	
	the BC/RTU housing unit came toward	<u></u>
<u> </u>	Mainliffs' outside cell window.	
·		-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	19, Once COI, Fry come over to Plantiffs' window,	-
	upon information and belief she may have seen	<u> </u>
	something going on because she got on her	ļ ·
	radio and called for back-up.	
· ·		-
	20, once back-up arised Plaintiff was escented	
	to medical, while Plaintiff was being escorted	
	to medical, Plaintiff lossed consciousness several	<u> </u>
·	times were officers escorting him had to hold	<del> </del>
•		

Page 5 of 12

		·.
	him up to keep him from falling down anto	
	the ground.	
	3	
·	21. Once Plaintiff was at medical Plaintiff	<del></del> -
	had to recieve a stitches above his left	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	eup pictures were taken of all injuries	
	sustained at the hands of Defendant Soft	
	Miller and the neglect of care by Defendants	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Soft Hemmis and Cor. Halderman.	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	22. Plaintiff sustained several injuries due	
	to the actions of Defendant Soft, Miller as	·
	well as terendants Soft Hermis and Coz.	
	Halderman's failure to protect.	
-	23. Plaintiffs' pysical injuries consisted of	
	ce stitches above bis left eye, swelling   brusing	<del></del> -
	to his face (head area and constant pain	
	In his head area for several days to were	
	being exposed to bright lights and land	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	sosies caused more pain	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	24. Plandiff also has a history of P.T.S.D.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	due to passed pysical and sexual abuse and	
<del></del>	due to Defendants actions in this case	
	Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress	
<del></del>	night terrors and two perpendictric	:
<del></del>	inpatret treatments due to this incident.	
		·
<del> </del>	25. Plainlift followed Pennsylvania Department of	
<u>-</u> . ,		
	Page 6 of 12	
	$\sim$	

Connections policy DC-ADM 001-Inmate Albuse Allkoption Mortharing by reporting soid included to approperate cutherities here at SCI-Rochileus, (see DC-ADM 001innate Abuse Allegation Montanna policy attached as 26. On appx. 7-10-17, an investigation was conducted reapording to Defendant (s) actions. 27. On september 14, 2017, notice of complexed investigation was given to Plainliff stating that Plainliffs claims were substantiated. (see notice of completed investigation attached as Ex C.). V. EXHAUSTION OF LEGAL REMEDIES 28. On Sylva 23, 2017, Plaintiff filed his initial inmake arrance in compliance with Remarkenia Department of Corrections policy DC-ADM 804 Innote Onclevance System. (see DC-DDM 804, Ex.D) (Innote Greverce D.A) 29. On september 15, 2017, Plaintiff received initial response to his inmode governce which was up held in part and dented in part (see initial response as Ex. D()) 30.00 October 5, 2017, Plaintiff Filed his 1st level appeal to Facility Manager. (see 1st Level appeal Ex. D(2)). 31. On October 13, 2017, Plaintiff recreved Facility Manager's response stating Plaintiff filed appeal

Page 7 of 12

untimely due his office didn't receive appeal till October 13,2017. (see Facility Mongger's response ((E) C.X3 32. On October 22,2017, Maintiff filed appeal for final and review and agreed that the facility manager erred in dismissing appeal due he disceptided the "Prisoner Mailbox Rule" and ask for his initial relief, (se Appeal for Final Review Ex.D(4)) 33. On Ochoher 30, 2017, Plaintiff received his response from Enal Appeal (see Final Appeal Ex.D(S).). VI LEGAL CLAIMS 34. Plaintiff reallege and incorporate by reference peragraphs 1-33. 35. Desendant Soft Miller's use of excessive force against Plaintiff, by punching him in the face head area appr. 20-30 times with closed fists violated Pennsylvania Department of Corrections Policies and Procedures. 36. Defendant. Sof. Miller's use of excessive force against Plantiff, by punching him in the face/head area appr. 20-30 times when Plaintiff was not violeting any prison rules, violates Plaintiff Protect right to be free from Cruel and Unusual Punishment under the Eighth Amend of the United

Page 8 of 12

## States Constitution.

37. Defendant Soft. Miller's esse of excessive force against Plaintiff, by punching him in the facethead area appx. 20-30 times and causing Plaintiff injuries to his facethead area, violated Plaintiffs protected right to be free from "unnecessary and wanton infliction of Pain" under the Eighth Amend. of the United States Conditation

38. Defendant(s) Soft Hammis and COI. Halderman's failure
to protect Plantiff from being assented, by
standing at Plantiff's cell door and watched
while agt miller hit Plantiff with about fish
aggs 20-30 times and never did anything to
stop him nor did selendant(s) Soft Hammis or Coi
Halderman call for back-up. Defendant(s) Soft. Hammis
and coi Halderman's at a failure to Protect
Plantiff violates Plantiff protected rights under
the Eight Amend. of the United States
Constitution.

39. Defendants) Soft. Miller', Soft, Hemmis, and COI Haldermis
actions have cause Plannish great para, suffering,
emotional distress and violates Plannish of the South Punishment" under
the Eight Amend of the United States Contitution.

40. Plaintiff, has no plain, adequate or complete

herein. Plaintiff has and will continue to be exposed to cruel and Unusual Runishment at the hand of Defendants and or Future corrections Officers unless this court grants the declaratory and injunctive relief which Mantiff seeks,

TIT. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that this

41. A declaration that the acts and omissions

described herein violated plaintiff's Rights

under the Constitution and laws of the United

42. A preliminary and permanent injunction
ordering defendant Soft, Miller to stop
his assaultive actions against Plaintiff
and Eutore innotes.

43. A preliminary and permanent injunction ordering defendant(s) Soft. Miller, Soft. Hammis, and controlled to stop abusing their authority by violating consylvance Department of Corrections Policies and Procedures.

#175,000.00. Seventy-five Thousand Dollars

and color cents against defendant softmiller for the posical injuries, great pain, suffering, emotional distress, night terrors, and permanent scaring Plaintiff sustained due to defendate acts against Plantiff. 45. Puritive damages in the amount of \$50,000.00 Fifty Thousand Dollars and only cents against defendant Soft Miller, for actions against Plandiff of hitting him in the Eccelhead area with closed fists appx 20-30 He Purifice damages in the amount of \$50,000.00 Eify Thousand Dollars and or cents against each defendant(s), Soft. Hemmis and COI Halderman due to this blantant disregard for the safety of a priserer under ther care custody, and control when they shood out side of Plannitte cell and watched defendant Soft maller beat Plannett and did nothing to stop it. 47. A jucy total on all issues triable by jucy. 48. Plaintiff seets complete recovery of the costs of this suit, and 49. Any additional relief this court deems

Page 11 of 12

just, proper, and equitable.	
just, proper, and equitable.	
just, peoper, and equitable.	
Jost, proper, and equitare.	
Date: December 7", 2017	4
Respectfully Submitted,	
	,
Che Refler	
Chad C. Sentro-Narduzzi	
Lu-7772	·
SCI-Rochview, Box-A	
Dellefonte, Pa 16823	
	<del>-</del>
VERIFICATION	· .
I have read the foregoing complaint and	
hereby verify that the matters alleged	· .
therein are true, except as matters alleged on	· ·
information and belief, and, as to those, I	
between to be true I contify under penalty	
of perjury that the foregoing is true and	
correct.	·
Currented in Calle Calle Calle	,
executed in Bellefonte, Ronsylvania on the 7th  day of December, 2017.	· · · · · ·
Cay of 100000	<u> </u>
ed.h.	
Chad C. Renfro-Narduzzi	
	<u></u>
Page 12 of 12	

